## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of California

United States of America	)
v.	)
FRANK HOWARD GOWANS	) Case No. 2:21-cr-00035-JAM
Defendant	)
ORDER OF DETENT	ΓΙΟΝ PENDING TRIAL
Part I - Eligib	oility for Detention
Upon the	
X Motion of the Government attorney pursua	nt to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or
	motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2),
the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i)	is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact, in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.
Part II - Findings of Fact and La	w as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)
presumption that no condition or combination of condand the community because the following conditions  (1) the defendant is charged with one of the following conditions  (a) a crime of violence, a violation of 18  § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum  (b) an offense for which the maximum serical controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705  (d) any felony if such person has been conditionally and through (c) of this paragraph, or two	U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or entence is life imprisonment or death; or no of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the \$801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or onvicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal
(iii) any other dangerous weapon; or (iv)	a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921); a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; <i>and</i>
	ed of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C. t would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise
	ve for which the defendant has been convicted was
	e pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; and
	apsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

X B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:
X (1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);
(2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b; (3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term o imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or
(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.
C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above
The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is ordered on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.)
OR
The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  X By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  X By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  X By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  X By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong  X Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  X By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong  X Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted  X Prior criminal history
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  X By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong  Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted  Y Prior criminal history  Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  X By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong X Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted X Prior criminal history  Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision X History of violence or use of weapons
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  X By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong  X Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted  X Prior criminal history  Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision  X History of violence or use of weapons  History of alcohol or substance abuse
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  X By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong X Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted X Prior criminal history  Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision X History of violence or use of weapons
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  X By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong X Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted X Prior criminal history  Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision X History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse Lack of stable employment

## Case 2:21-cr-00035-JAM Document 17 Filed 03/12/21 Page 3 of 3

AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial

		Significant family or other ties outside the United States
		Lack of legal status in the United States
		Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration
3	X	Prior failure to appear in court as ordered
		Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement
		Use of alias(es) or false documents
		Background information unknown or unverified
2	X	Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release

## OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:

Click here to enter text.

## Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Date: March 10, 2021

Jeremy D. Peterson, United States Magistrate Judge